



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,796	03/23/2001	Paul E. McKenney	BEA9-2001-0001-US1	5819

49056 7590 04/25/2005

LIEBERMAN & BRANDSDORFER, LLC  
12221 MCDONALD CHAPEL DRIVE  
GAITHERSBURG, MD 20878

EXAMINER
----------

TSAI, HENRY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2183

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/816,796

Applicant(s)

MCKENNEY, PAUL E.

Examiner

Henry W.H. Tsai

Art Unit

2183

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Henry W.H. Tsai.

(3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Rochelle Lieberman.

(4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 4/20/05.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (a) Examiner indicated that Claim 1 in the proposed amendment faxed 4/18/05 is not understandable and apparently there are 112 problems in the claim; (b) Applicant indicated that claims in the case will be considered to be further amended in order to clarify the invention and to overcome the cited prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

 4/20/05

HENRY W. H. TSAI  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required